Project Name	Local Plan Review
Project Manager	Mike Hase
Project End Date	May-23
Risk Register Version	v2
Date areased	14/08/20

			Pre-mitigation				Post-mitigation		
Risk category	Risk ID	Risk	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk	Mitigation	Likelihood (L)	Impact (I)	Risk
,	Min score		1	1	1	Min score	1	1	1
		Max score	5	5	25	Max score	5	5	25
External Procurement	P1	Preparation of Specifications not completed on time as a result of Coronavirus	3	3	9	Ensure that whole team involved in the preparation process	2	2	4
	P2	Tender Submissions - Not Returned within timescales 3		3	9	Extend Timescales or provide sufficient time based upon discussions with consultants	2	2	4
	P3	Tender Submission - Signficantly Exceed Budgetary Estimates	2	3	6	Utilise more of Local Plan Reserve	1	2	2
	P4	Tender Submissions - Consultants cannot complete within timescales envisaged	3	3	9	Esnure that Specifications are appropriate to needs of the Local Plan Review	2	2	4
Internal Review Process & Statutory Requirements	IR1	Sites not accessible for site visits	3	3	9	Site Assessment work undertaken as desktop exercise	2	2	4
	IR2	Insufficient sites identified to meeting future housing requirement	2	4	8	Duty to Co-operate engaged - adjcent local planning authorities contacted to see if they have capacity.	2	2	4
	IR3	If Engaged Duty to Co-operate agreement cannot be reached with adjacent local planning authorities	3	4	12	Commence early discussions with relevant local planning authorities to ensure that agreement can be reached in a timely manner	2	3	6
	IR4	Delayed delivery of laptops to replenish staff	3	4	12	Requistion of other staff's laptops to meet Planning Policy priorities	2	2	4
	PP1	Delay in preparation of evidence base	3	3	9	Project Management of Consultants to ensure preparation timetable adhered to	2	2	4
	PP2	Delay in preparation of LDS & SCI  LPWG does not agree to recommend LDS & SCI for approval to	3	3	9	Keep human resources under review to ensure that there is adequate staffing to complete within timescales	2	2	4
	PP3	Council Council	3	4	12	Early engagement with Members prior to LPWG	2	3	6
	PP4	Council does not agree LPWG Recommendations on LDS & SCI	3	4	12	Early engagement with Members prior to Council	2	3	6
Plan Preparation	PP5 PP6	Unanticipated Signficant Changes to Planning Policy Guidance and/legislative changes  Public Consultation results in over whelming number of	3	3	9	Ensure that there are contingency measures in place - discuss with MHCLG realistic solutions  Utilise online resource such as Survey Monkey to assist with the	2	3	6
	PP6	representations at all stages  Delay in preparation of Pre Submission Draft	3	3	9	analysis of representations and enter manual representations as received  Keep human resources under review to ensure that there is adequate	2	3	6
		LPWG & Council do not agree contents of Pre Submission Draft Local		-		staffing to complete within timescales			
	PP8	Plan  Delay in commencement of Public Consultation on Pre Submission	3	4	12	Early engagement with Members before LPWG & Council  Ensure that there are contingency measures in place - discuss with	2	2	6
	PP9 PP10	Draft Local Plan  Delay in preparation of Draft Submission Plan	3	4	12	MHCLG realistic solutions Keep human resources under review to ensure that there is adequate	2	3	6
	PP11	Council does not agree to submission of Local Plan	3	5	15	staffing to complete within timescales Early engagement with Members prior to Council	3	3	9
	PP11 PP12	Inspector does not agree DTC been met - plan review is delayed	3	5	15	Earry engagement with Members prior to Council Ensure timely preparation of DTC Statement with all relevant local planning authorities	3	3	9
	PP13	Examination in Public Delayed by unforseen circumstances	3	3	9	Identify with PINS contingency measures - if not already in place.	2	3	6
	PP14	Mutliple & Complex Main Modifications require additional time to prepare	3	3	9	Liaise with Inspector throughout the EIP to ensure no hidden surprises in the modifications	2	2	4
	PP15	Council does not agree to Adoption of Local Plan	3	5	15	Early engagement with Members prior to Council	2	3	6

## Scoring system key

	5	Highly likely		5	Catastroph
	4	Likely		4	Severe
elihood	3	Forseeable	Impact	3	Moderate
	2	Unlikely		2	Minor
	1	Rare occurrence		1	Negligible

			IIPa			
		2	3	4	5	
_	1	2	3	4	5	1-4 = Lo
ĕ	2	4	6	8	10	5-9 = M
₫	3	6	9	12	15	10-16 =
Likelihood	4	8	12	16	20	20-25 =
_	5	10	15	20	25	

1-4 = Low risk
5-9 = Medium risk
10-16 = High risk
20-25 = High risk