

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

LOCAL PLAN SUB-COMMITTEE HELD ON 01 FEBRUARY 2024

STATEMENT from Cllr Vicki Raynes, Tansley Local Resident

Dear Councillors,

The Progressive Alliance has a commitment to supporting Rural Villages across the Plan Area. Tansley is considered a Rural Village (Tansley PC requested this designation, which Mr Hase will tell you was agreed by DDDC)

During the last round of Local Plan allocations Tansley was required to take almost 100 new homes, a huge increase for our village. Some of these new homes are occupied others still being built.

It has not been a good experience for the village, as development has taken place without any thought for infra structure, we have on going problems with drainage, and our narrow roads have difficulty accommodating the additional traffic and parked vehicles.

We are not aware of any junction improvements (as per the 2017 Plan) to ensure our journey to essential services in Matlock are accessed with more ease,

We are not aware that medical facilities have increased in size to accommodate this additional building .

We are not aware that there has been an improved public transport facility, and we are still waiting for a much needed pedestrian crossing on the A615 ... so what improvements has additional development brought to our village?

We have received 106 money to up grade our recreation facilities but frankly these are small amounts - when compared to vital infrastructure improvements which are needed.

There is no assessment of the cumulative impact of development on a settlement - no reference to other developments in the area which might impact upon the settled community and limited facilities.

Development has taken place in our village prior to planning permission being granted and Conditions are often ignored.

DDDC are now in the process of deciding where additional development will take place, when deciding locations for development might it be fair and democratic to look at areas that have not had any allocations?

Maybe other settlements need to experience the apparent negligence of the planning system at DDDC, the lack of enforcement and the perceived view that the large developer can do as they please, as long as DDDC get their quota.

It is noted which Parishes were allocated development, it is also noted which Parishes had no allocation.

Maybe its time to treat all settlements fairly? It is noted that there was land available in many settlements however political pressure ensured these areas remained green!

Regards,

Vicki Raynes